


MARY HAGGINS,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
) **ORDER**
)
JEFF WHITE, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
)
_____)

Pro se Mary Haggins (“Plaintiff”) filed a Complaint in this matter on July 12, 2021. [Doc. 1]. On July 29, 2021, the Court reviewed Plaintiff’s motion to proceed in forma pauperis. [Doc. 3]. The Court denied Plaintiff’s motion without prejudice because it was inconsistent and conflicted with information she had recently provided to the Court in another matter. [Id.]. The Court allowed Plaintiff 14 days to either pay the filing fee or to file an amended Application to proceed in forma pauperis. [Id. at 3]. The Court advised Plaintiff that this case would be dismissed without further notice and without prejudice should Plaintiff fail to comply with the Court’s Order. [Id.]. Plaintiff has not complied. As such, the Court will dismiss this action.¹

¹ The Court notes that, after Plaintiff filed the instant action, a pre-filing review system was imposed against Plaintiff in Case No. 3:21-cv-00340-MR to prevent Plaintiff's continued abuse of the judicial process by filing meritless pleadings with this Court. [*Id.*, Doc. 8]. Pursuant to this system, all documents submitted by Plaintiff in the future, in any action in this District, will be pre-screened by the Court for content. Any proposed filings that are not made in good faith or which lack substance or merit will be returned to Plaintiff without further explanation. [*Id.*].

The Clerk is instructed to terminate this proceeding.

Signed: September 2, 2021

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Robert J. Conrad, Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.
United States District Judge

